



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 29

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC  
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W  
SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934

**COPY MAILED**

**MAY 14 2004**

In re Application of : **OFFICE OF PETITIONS**  
Van Hook, et al. : DECISION REFUSING STATUS  
Application No. 09/662,832 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)  
Filed: September 15, 2000 :  
Atty. Dkt. No.: 1778.0100002 :  
For: ALIGNMENT AND ORDERING OF :  
VECTOR ELEMENTS FOR SINGLE :  
INSTRUCTION MULTIPLE DATA :  
PROCESSING :

This decision is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b), filed April 22, 2004. This petition is being treated under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application. Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed September 15, 2000 without a properly executed oath or declaration.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

The instant petition lacks items (1), (2), and (3) set forth above.


As to item (1), petitioner has failed to establish that the inventors cannot be reached or refused to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, and oath or declaration).

Petitioner has provided no evidence that the non-signing inventor received a complete copy of the application papers (**specification, claims, drawings, and oath or declaration**) and thereafter refused to execute the oath or declaration. The statement of facts given by James P. Hao relates to U.S. Application No. 08/947,649 (now U.S. Patent No. 5,933,650). There is no indication in Office records that Rule 47 status was accorded in U.S. Application No. 08/947,649.

Accordingly, petitioner is required to establish that the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) for the instant application were sent to the non-signing inventor and that the non-signing inventor thereafter refused requests to execute the oath or declaration.

Petitioner is reminded that before a refusal to sign an oath or declaration can be alleged, it must be demonstrated that a *bona fide* effort has been made to present a copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to each non-signing inventor. Petitioner has failed to establish that the non-signing inventors received a copy of the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) and thereafter refused to execute the oath or declaration.

Any renewed petition should be accompanied by evidence to establish that the non-signing inventor was sent a complete copy of the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) and thereafter refused to execute the declaration. Petitioner may wish to provide the Office copies of letters sent to the inventors indicating the enclosure of the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, and oath or declaration). If after the inventors receive the application papers and requests to execute the oath or declaration are refused, these facts should be set forth in a statement of facts signed by the person to whom the refusals were made and detailing with specificity the exact manner of the refusals. If

  
Alesia M. Brown  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions